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## Preface

Having dealt with the Catalan in volume 1A, this book continues the reworking of my original Grandmaster Repertoire on 1.d4, by offering an elite repertoire against Black's remaining possible answers to the Queen's Gambit. The book spans 24 chapters, which have been divided into three main sections:

## The Queen's Gambit Accepted

This marks the single biggest change from Grandmaster Repertoire 1, as I made the bold decision to replace $3 . \mathrm{e} 3$ with the more ambitious $3 . \mathrm{e} 4$, in order to put more immediate pressure on Black. True, this requires a good level of theoretical knowledge, but the effort should pay off in the long run. These first six chapters contain a multitude of fascinating positions which White players can greatly enjoy.

## The Slav Defence

My core recommendation against the Slav remains the same, with 3. $\dagger \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{f} 64 . \mathrm{e} 3 \mathrm{my}$ preferred weapon. The theory has developed significantly since 2008, and my coverage has expanded from 95 pages (spread over nine chapters) to 203 pages over twelve chapters. The biggest single change came after 4...思g4, when I have switched from 5.0 c 3 to $5 . \mathrm{h} 3$. But even in those variations where my recommendation has remained broadly the same, I have added numerous improvements and refinements to bring the repertoire fully up to date.

## Smaller Lines

The final six chapters cover all of Black's remaining defences. My recommended line against the Chigorin has become extremely popular since GM 1 was published, so the coverage has now been split across two chapters in order to accommodate the many new developments. Next is the Albin Counter Gambit, where I have kept the same basic set-up for White, but recommended something completely new against Black's main line. The Tarrasch Defence benefited from the Grandmaster Repertoire treatment in the 2011 book of Aagaard and Ntirlis, who found a nice way to neutralize my previous recommendation. Hopefully, the devotees of that defence will not have such an easy time against the new weapons featured in Chapter 22 of this work. The final chapters of the book deal with the rare defences $2 \ldots$... $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{f}, 2 \ldots \mathrm{f} 6$ and $2 \ldots \mathrm{c} 5$; even these unusual moves are no picnic for White, although I have some nice ideas of course...

I hope that you will find a lot of useful material in this book, which will bring you many more successes with the Queen's Gambit.

## Boris Avrukh

Chicago, July 2016
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## A1）note to $10 \ldots$ ．．${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{d} 7$

B2）after 15 ．．．． $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{C} 7$


B3）after $12 \ldots . . c 5$


## 1．d4 d5 2．c4 c6 3．0）f3 e6 4．e3 f5

This blend of the Slav and the Stonewall Dutch is quite playable against an early e2－e3． Our main line will be different from that of GM 1.
4．．．需d6 does not have any real independent significance after $5 . \mathrm{b} 3$ ，as 5 ．．．f5 will transpose to this chapter while $5 \ldots \mathrm{f} 6$ will lead to the Meran set－up of Chapter 11.


## 5．蒐 d 3 f 6

Black can also develop his knight in a different way：5．．．思d6 6．0－0 ©h6 7．b3 （Another concept worthy of consideration is：

 lasting advantage in Meier－Aronian，Antalya
 Exchanging the dark－squared bishops is a thematic plan against the Stonewall structure． A good example continued：

 13．純b2 蹓e7 14．b4 White had started his play on the queenside and had the much easier game in Gallego Jimenez－Alonso Martinez， Mondariz 2002.

## 6．0－0 宽d6

$6 \ldots$ ．．． $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{e} 7$ is much less popular．The simplest
 9． 0 xa 3 transposes to variation A 2 below．

### 7.63

I will first consider A）7．．．0－0，although B） 7 ．．．${ }^{\text {ung }} \mathbf{e} 7$ is by far Black＇s most popular move．

$$
\text { A) } 7 \ldots . .0-08.8 . ⿷_{a}
$$

As a rule，allowing the bishop exchange gives White easy play，although some accuracy will still be needed．I considered A1）8．．．${ }^{\text {De4 }} 4$ and A2）8．．．思xa3．


10．蹓 c 2 ！
White＇s plan involves a quick ${ }^{\text {man }} \mathrm{c}$ ，trying to complicate Black＇s queenside development．

10．．． Q $^{1}$ d
Another line is：10．．．b6 11．cxd5 cxd5 （11．．．exd5 12．${ }^{\text {Q }} \mathrm{e} 5$ is unpleasant for Black）



 White maintained the upper hand in Ivanhoe －Stockfish，engine game 2012.
 A thematic idea in such positions． $12 \ldots$ ．．． h 6 13．思xe4！dxe4 14．0c3 包f6


This position occurred in Tripp－Allen， corr．2014，and now $15 . \mathrm{a} 4!\mathrm{N}$ would have been clearly better for White．He is starting to develop an initiative on the queenside，while Black does not have much happening on the kingside and his light－squared bishop is poor．

## 11．癸c1 0 df6！？

The other obvious try is： 11 ．．．咢f6 12．0 c3 b6 13．縞b2 思b7（13．．．a5 makes the b6－pawn vulnerable after 14．0a4！）In Schultheiss－ Meissner，email 2010，a logical continuation would have been：


14．0 e 2 N 吅h6 15．b4 $\pm$ The fact that ．．．c5 has not been played makes White＇s advantage obvious，as Black is going to suffer with his passive light－squared bishop．

The text move is a principled option，intending to put the knight on g4．In Davidov－Pilkin， corr．2014，I believe White should have reacted in the following way：


## 12． 5 c 3 ！ $\mathrm{N}^{5} \mathrm{~g} 4$ 13．0 d 1

This may not look like a great square for the knight，but this piece is actually on its way to e5！

## 13．．．息d7 14．h3 勾h6 15．0 b 2 宽e8

 also favours White．

 ©f5 20．
White preserves a nice positional pull．

## A2）8．．．思xa3

This is the usual choice，drawing the white knight away from the centre．
$9.0 \times 13$


9．．．飭e7
9．．．${ }^{\text {One }} 4$
This has been just as popular as our main line，but it makes it easier for White to develop a queenside initiative：
10．b4！欮e7

Another nice game continued：10．．．a6 11．© c2 b5 12．c5！© d7 13．©e5 \％igc7 14．f3



18．f4！axb4 19．0xb4 $\pm$ In P．H．Nielsen －Tikkanen，Sweden 2008，White had a clear positional advantage due to the poor position of Black＇s minor pieces．



15．axb5 cxb5 16．品ad1 気c6 17．0e5士
This was Ilincic－Doncea，Timisoara 2008. White＇s passed c－pawn should be an important factor in the long run．

## 10．欮c1 © 4

Another instructive example continued： 10．．．b6 11．綇b2 㚐b7 12．cxd5！A well－timed tension release，as Black cannot recapture with
䠉f8 In Tratar－Wiesinger，Aschach 2004， White should have played：


15．©ce1！N a6 16．茵f1 Followed by ©d3， retaining a long－term positional edge．


## 

$11 . . . \mathrm{b} 6$ has been played twice and Black went on to win both games，but White can get a good position with： 12.0 e5！思b7 13．f3 f6


14．cxd5！©xd5（14．．．cxd5 15 ．⿷ach $1 \pm$ is similar
to the previous note）15．©ac4 0 d 7 16． 0 xd 7摡xd7 17．©fe1 White keeps the upper hand．

## 12．覴 ac1 癸f6

This has occurred in several correspondence games，but for some reason the most natural continuation has never been tried：


## 13．b4！N

I checked the following logical line：

## 

I prefer White，as Black has no real initiative on the kingside．



9．0．c3！？

I decided to deviate from my previous recommendation of 9．$\frac{\pi}{4} \mathrm{c} 1$ ，as Black has recently shown some improvements after 9 ．．．b6 10．悤a3 c5．

We will consider B1）9．．．b6，B2）9．．．${ }^{\text {Se4 }} 4$ and our main continuation of B3）9．．．思d7．

9．．． $0^{\text {b }}$ bd7 has no special significance，as 10． g c 1 leaves Black with nothing better than transposing to variation B2 with 10．．．©e4． However，White may also try to punish Black＇s move order with 10．cxd5 $\wedge_{\text {xd5 }} 11 . e 4$ ！？$\uparrow$ ．

## B1）9．．．b6 10．© Cl （1）e4

$10 \ldots$ ．．． B b is met by the typical 11．cxd5！ intending $11 \ldots .0 x d 512 . e 4$ ，or $11 \ldots$ ．．．cxd5N 12． D 5 ，in both cases with better chances．


White has more than one promising continuation here．

## 11．cxd5！？N

Objectively this probably isn＇t any stronger than the alternative－but it＇s an interesting option against the particular move order chosen by Black．

11．0e2 悤b7 12．De5 is the normal plan，when Black＇s options include：
a） 12 ．．．悤xe5？！13．dxe5 c5 $14 . \mathrm{f} 3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~g} 515 . ⿹ \mathrm{f} 4$骂d8 16．cxd5 宽xd5 17．蹓e2 a5？！White eventually won a long game in Gelfand－ Morozevich，Astana（rapid）2012，but it could have been over a lot sooner if he had found：


18．h4！N 0 f 19．e4！White is already winning， as 19．．．fxe4 20．fxe4 宽b7 21．0xe6！would be devastating．
b） $12 \ldots \mathrm{~d} 7$ is best；this position will be covered under variation B2 below，where it occurs via the move order 9．．．${ }^{\text {De4 } 410 \text { ．} \mathrm{gc} \mathrm{c} 1}$
 my recommendation for White．

## 11．．．exd5

I also checked 11．．． $0^{x c}$ 3 12．घxc3 exd5 （White is also better after $12 \ldots \mathrm{cxd} 5$ 13．0 e 5
 gets some annoying pressure．


## 

$12 . . . c 5$ ？would be premature in view of 13．dxc5！bxc5 14．思xe4 dxe4 15．． H d $\mathrm{d} 5 \dagger$ ．

## 13． 0 e5

I believe that White＇s chances are preferable in this complex position．Here is an illustrative line：

## 

15．．． Qe6 allows $16 . g 4$ ！fxg4 17．⿹g3 when $^{\text {．}}$ White seizes a dangerous initiative on the kingside．


16．dxc5！？
This method of playing on the dark squares greatly appeals to me．
 convincing．

## 16．．．bxc5

 18．0xd7 響xd7 19．思xe4 fxe4 20． mg 3 㟔f7 21．思 e 5 with a solid positional advantage．

## 17．悤xe4 fxe4

$17 . .$. dxe4 is even worse：18．b4！©a6
 ©xc5 20．思a3！White has a clear plus．


## 18．b4！cxb4 19．${ }^{\text {g }} \mathbf{g}$ ！

White has a promising initiative on the kingside．Of course，we also had the simple option of 11.0 e2，which is likely to transpose to the variation below，so it＇s a pleasant choice for White．

$$
\text { B2) } 9 \ldots \text { e.. } 4
$$



## 10．筧 c 1

10． Q e 2 is likely to lead to the same thing after a subsequent ${ }^{[2} \mathrm{c} 1$ ．

## 10．．．分d7

$10 \ldots$ b6 leads back to variation B1．
11．${ }^{\text {en }} \mathrm{e} 2 \mathrm{~b} 6$
 precise：13．h3！（13．f3 欮h4 14．h3 9 g 5 led to double－edged play in Sandipan－Megaranto， Biel 2013）13．．．a5（White is ready for $13 \ldots$ ．．． m 4 14.0 f 4 ，when $14 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$ ？is not possible in view of 15.0 f 3 ！and the black queen is trapped） This happened in Serban－Pirs，corr．2011， and now simplest would have been：


14．f3！N 9 5 15．蹓c2 Black＇s play on the kingside looks rather fruitless．

11．．．a5
This is Scherbakov＇s main line for Black．I found a natural improvement：


12．a4 g5！？13．風e5 旬xe5 14．dxe5 思b4 was okay for Black in Meier－Krasenkow，Sestao 2010.

12．${ }^{\text {uri }} \mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{~b} 6$ was also pretty double－edged in Lautier－Pridorozhni，Khanty－Mansiysk 2005.

12．．．b6
12．．．a4 13．bxa4 doesn＇t make much sense for Black．
It is important to appreciate that $12 \ldots \mathrm{~g} 5$ can be met by 13.0 h 5 ！when the knight is quite
 White．
13．0．0 5 xe5
13．．．思b7 14．cxd5 cxd5 15 ．思b5 is definitely better for White．
14．dxe5 悤c5


15．思d4！悤d7 16．思xe4 fxe4 17．思xc5 bxc5 18．f3 $\ddagger$
White keeps the better chances．

## 12． Q $^{2}$ e5 思b7

This seems like the most natural move to me．

Scherbakov gives 12．．． Q $^{\text {xe5 }}$ 13．dxe5 思c5， after which I found a simple improvement for White：


14． $0 \mathrm{~d} 4!\mathrm{N}$（14．0f4 a5 was roughly equal in Gasanov－Zhigalko，Rijeka 2010）14．．．思b7
 19． $\mathrm{gfc} 2 \pm$ White keeps the better chances．

## 13．f3

Logically driving the strong black knight away．


## 13．．．分ec5

In the event of $13 \ldots . .0 \mathrm{ef} 6 \mathrm{~N}$ 14． $\mathrm{Q} f 4 \mathrm{c} 4$ 15．${ }^{\mathrm{M} \mathrm{M}} \mathrm{e}$ 2 品ac8（it is hardly a good idea for Black to release the tension with $15 \ldots . . \operatorname{cxd} 4$ ？！ $16 . \operatorname{exd} 4$ dxc4 17．思xc4土）16．吉h1 White retains some pressure．

## 14．鬼b1 ©xe5？

Even though this move is not the best，it is worth having it as the main line to see the instructive refutation．

14．．．©a6 is a safer choice although，in K．Schneider－Nyberg，Internet 2013，White could have continued improving his position


## 15．dxe5 思c7

In Osipov－Korepanov，email 2007，White missed a powerful idea：


16．b4！N ${ }^{\text {d }} 7$
16．．． Q $^{\text {a6 }}$ is met by $17 . c x d 5$ cxd5 $18 . a 3$ when the black minor pieces on the queenside look totally misplaced．

## 17．cxd5 exd5 18．f4！蹓xb4 19．思a1！

The knight is coming to d 4 with a lot of power，for instance：

## 

White has a devastating attack．
B3）9．．．息d7


This move is quite thematic for the Stonewall structure；the light－squared bishop is heading for h5．

## 

Black has also tried 11．．．$\searrow \mathrm{fd} 7$ ！？，when White should continue with：


12． $0 f 4!\mathrm{N}$（Black was alright after $12 . \mathrm{ff}$ ©f6 $13 . c 5$ 悤c7 $14 . b 4$ 宽h5 in Van Wely－ Krasenkow，Polanica Zdroj 2000）12．．．a5 （White is not worried about 12．．．9xe5 13．dxe5悤c7 14．留c c1，when the e5－pawn cramps Black＇s pieces）13．思e2 2 a6 $14 .{ }^{(1)} \mathrm{c} 1 \pm$ White keeps the more pleasant game．


## 12．f3！

A strong positional move：White takes control over the e4－square and looks forward to having an opportunity to break with e3－e4 one day．

## 12．．．c5

I also checked 12．．．dxc4N 13．9xc4 悤c7，
when White has the following nice idea：14．a4！ ©d5 15．思a3 0 b4 16．a5

After 12．．．＂${ }^{m}$ d8，which occurred in Danner－ Nikolac，Maribor 1980，I would suggest：


13．c5！？N 崽c7 14．b4 White can easily develop his initiative on the queenside，while Black has no counterplay in sight．The following line looks logical：14．．． 0 xe5 15．dxe5 0 d7
 will come to d 4 and Black＇s bishop will be stranded．18．思xe2 b6 19．寄d4 White has a clear advantage．


## 13． $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ！ N

I found this improvement myself，though it was also proposed by Mihail Marin in ChessBase Magazine 163.
 16．exd4 occurred in Gelfand－Tomashevsky， Baku 2014，but now Black has an improvement：


16．．．$勹 \mathrm{~h} 5$ ！ N Taking control over the f 4 －square， with an unclear game．

13．${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{C} 1$ led to an eventual victory for White in Iturrizaga Bonelli－Shabalov，Montevideo 2015，but it seems too slow．

## 13．．．覴d8

After the premature 13．．．g5？！14．0h3 h6 15．${ }^{[\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{e}$ e2（or 15.9 f 2 ，as given by Marin）Black has only weakened his position．

13．．． 0 b6 14．a4！is an important move，when play may continue：


14．．．dxc4 15．悤xc4 包xc4 16．©xc4 鱼c7 $17.0 \mathrm{~d} 3 \pm$ White dominates the dark squares．


## 14．蹓 c 2 ！

This is the best square for the queen．
14．${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{e}$ e2 allows Black to equalize by means of：14．．．cxd4 15．exd4 ©xe5 16．dxe5 思c5 $\dagger$ 17．朝h 0 h5＝

## 14．．． Q $^{2} 6$

This seems as good a try as any for Black．
Marin mentions 14．．．悤c7 15．gad1 when White keeps the advantage．

I also analysed：14．．．cxd4 15．exd4 ©xe5


 22．欮 xc 5 White is a pawn up．


## 15．a4！

Just as in the 13．．．$\Delta \mathrm{b} 6$ line above，White is going to harass the enemy knight．

## 15．．．${ }^{\text {Q }} \mathrm{h} 5$

$15 . . . \mathrm{dxc} 416$ ．㤙xc $4 \pm$ is similar to the aforementioned note on 13．．．${ }^{\text {b b }}$ b．

## 16． $0^{x h} 5$

$16 . \mathrm{a} 5 \mathrm{dxc} 417 . \mathrm{bxc} 404!$ is not so clear．

## 16．．．思xh5 17．a5 dxc4 18．bxc4



## 18．．．${ }^{\text {O }} \mathbf{d 7} 19 . f 4 \underline{+}$

White retains some pressure．

## Conclusion

The Slav－Stonewall hybrid is a valid option against our $4 . e 3$ set－up．I recommend simple development with 5．思d3 ©f6 6．0－0 宽d6 7．b3，when Black must make a choice．7．．．0－0 8．思 3 3 by no means a disaster for him，but the exchange of dark－squared bishops is a definite achievement for White，whose subsequent plans may include preparing a queenside advance，or perhaps manoeuvring the queen＇s knight towards d 3 and e5．7．．．${ }^{\text {Mi }} \mathrm{e} 7$ is the main line，which makes it harder for White to carry out the desired bishop exchange．After 8． 思b2 $^{\text {b }}$ $0-0$ I recommend a change of direction from GM 1 with 9． $9 \mathrm{c} 3!$ ？，intending 9 e 2 ，䀎 c 1 and Qe5 at some point．A complicated game lies ahead，but my analysis shows that Black is under some pressure in all variations．

