CHESS EVOLUTION September 2011

By

Arkadij Naiditsch



Quality Chess www.qualitychess.co.uk



Key to Symbols used	4
Editorial Preface	5
A	9
В	55
С	109
D	141
E	291
Miraculous Rook Endgames	347
12 Puzzles	359

Contributors

Etienne Bacrot: France, 28 years old, GM 2705, number 43 in the world. Became GM at the age of 14, a record at the time. Six times French Champion starting from 1999.

Winner of many international events including: 2005: 1st place in Poikovsky, 3rd in Dortmund and 3rd of the World Cup in Khanty-Mansiysk. 2009: 1st in Aeroflot Open, second in Montreal and Antwerp. 2010: First equal in Gibraltar, 3rd in Nanjing and winner of Geneva Open. 2011: First equal in Basel, Geneva (rapid) and Rabat (blitz).





Baadur Jobava: Georgia, 27 years old, GM 2704, number 36 in the world.

Georgian Champion. 2003 and 2007. Gold medal on 4th board the Calvia Olympiad 2004. Silver medal 2010 European Individual Ch. Rijeka, Croatia.

Winner of: 2003 Dubai Open; 2005 Samba Cup, Skanderborg, Denmark; 2006 Aeroflot Open, 2011 Bosna-Open, Sarajevo.

Sebastien Maze: France, 26 years old, GM 2575

Winner of 2008 Rabat blitz tournament, 1st equal in Marseille 2009 and Menton 2009.

Member of the French team in the Olympiad in Dresden 2008.

Was the second of Etienne Bacrot in FIDE Grand Prix Elista 2008, Dortmund 2009 and Nanjing 2010.





Kamil Miton: Poland, 27 years old, GM 2628.

World Junior U12 Champion in 1996. No 2 at the World Junior Champion (U 20).

Twice the winner (2002 and 2005) of one of the world's biggest tournaments, the World Open in Philadelphia, USA.

Arkadij Naiditsch: Germany, 25 years old, GM 2707, number 40 in the world. Became International Master at the age of 13, Grandmaster at 15.

Winner of 2005 Super-tournament in Dortmund and since 2006 the top-rated German player. In 2007 was German Champion and won the Baku Open. In 2010 Arkadij won a match against Efimenko in Mukachevo and was 1st equal in the European Rapid Championship in Warsaw.





Borki Predojevic: Bosnia and Herzegovina, 24 years old, GM 2643. Gained the GM title at the Calvia Olympiad in 2004 when he was 17. Best Elo was 2654 in September 2009. Joined the top 100 in 2007; highest place so far was 68th on the October 2007 list.

Winner of several international open tournaments including: Open Metalis in Bizovac, Croatia in 2006, Zagreb Open, Croatia in 2007, Hit Open in Nova Gorica, Slovenia in 2008, Acropolis Open in Greece 2009. in 2008, Acropolis Open in Greece 2009.

Nikola Sedlak: Serbia, 28 years old, GM 2589

Winner of the 3rd European Union Individual Chess Championship in 2007 and 2009 BH Telecom tournament in Sarajevo.





Ivan Sokolov: 43 years old, GM 2673, number 69 in the world. Best world ranking on the FIDE list of 12th (several times).

Winner of many top GM events of which the most important are: Hastings, Sarajevo, Selfoss, Reykjavik, Hoogeveen, Lost Boys, Staunton Memorial. Yugoslav Champion in 1988 and Dutch Champion in 1995 and 1998. Won team gold with the Dutch team at the 2005 European Championship in Gothenburg.



GAME 1	Svidler – Kramnik, [A07]	10
GAME 2	Gashimov – Wang Yue, [A28]	14
GAME 3	Nepomniachtchi – Efimenko, [A29]	23
GAME 4	Kramnik – Nepomniachtchi, [A30]	32
GAME 5	Kramnik – Timofeev, [A35]	40
GAME 6	Svidler – Nepomniachtchi, [A35]	48

B

56
60
68
79
89
95
102

C

GAME 14	Nepomniachtchi – Mamedyarov, [C02]	110
GAME 15	Gharamian – Ni Hua, [C11]	113
GAME 16	Nepomniachtchi – Onischuk, [C45]	117
GAME 17	Karjakin – Kramnik, [C67]	123
GAME 18	Ivanchuk – Leko, [C89]	136

D

GAME 19	Yu Yangyi – Balogh, [D10]	142
GAME 20	Harikrishna – Jakovenko, [D16]	148
GAME 21	Morozevich – Timofeev, [D20]	160
GAME 22	Bacrot – Edouard, [D27]	167
GAME 23	Melkumyan– S. Zhigalko, [D31]	173
GAME 24	Morozevich – Grischuk, [D31]	177
GAME 25	Mamedyarov – Sargissian, [D35]	180
GAME 26	ĽAmi – Sokolov, [D36]	184
GAME 27	Giri – Ponowariov, [D37]	189
GAME 28	Morozevich – Caruana, [D43]	193
GAME 29	Ponomariov – Giri, [D46]	196
GAME 30	Carlsen – Shirov, [D48]	201
GAME 31	Aronian – Harikrishna, [D56]	207
GAME 32	Morozevich – Carlsen, [D80]	218
GAME 33	Aronian – Sutovsky, [D85]	224
GAME 34	Pashikian – Zhou Jianchao, [D85]	233
GAME 35	Pelletierk – Carlsen, [D85]	235
GAME 36	Timofeev – Nepomniachtchi, [D85]	242
GAME 37	Vachier Lagrave – Morozevich, [D86]	250
GAME 38	Salem – Cheparinov, [D90]	258
GAME 39	Nguyen Ngoc Truong Son – Li Chao, [D93]	260
GAME 40	Morozevich – Vachier Lagrave, [D97]	269
GAME 41	Morozevich – Svidler, [D97]	272
GAME 42	Onischuk – Navara, [D98]	279

E

GAME 43	Fressinet – Bacrot, [E03]	292
GAME 44	Meier – Giri, [E04]	297
GAME 45	Meier – Kramnik, [E15]	300
GAME 46	Hammer – Naiditsch, [E20]	309
GAME 47	Mamedyarov– Ganguly, [E32]	318
GAME 48	Seirawan – Polgar, [E32]	328
GAME 49	Kramnik – Ponomariov, [E94]	335
GAME 50	Kramnik – Nakamura, [E97]	342

GAME 43

▷ L. Fressinet (2698)

 E. Bacrot (2710)
86th French Championship, Caen Round 3, 16.08.2011 [E03]
Annotated by Ivan Sokolov

The players debated a line of the Catalan which arose through an English/Reti move order. Bacrot unveiled an interesting novelty in 10...②b4!?, instead of the usual 10...②b7 as featured in the 2006 Kramnik – Fritz match, or the rare but interesting 10...③e5!?. In the game White was unable to find anything convincing against his opponent's new tenth move. The critical direction looks to be 11.營e4!? but according to my analysis Black is doing well there too.

1.②f3 d5 2.c4 e6 3.g3 ②f6 4.遑g2 dxc4 5.營a4† ②bd7 6.營xc4

6.0-0 a6 7.營xc4 b5 8.營c2 逸b7 gives Black easy play.

6...a6



7**.**≝c2

Another option is 7.263 although Black should be okay here: 7...268 (But not 7...c5?! 8.a4! 268 9.a5 when Black's queenside is fixed and sooner or later he will have to

damage his pawn structure, for instance: 9.... 2d6 10.d3 0-0 11.0-0 h6 12. 2d2 罩e8 13. 2a3 e5 14. 2c4 2c7 15. 罩fd1 營e7 16. 2h4 b5 17.axb6 2xb6 18. 2a5 2bd5 19. 營c2± Lputian – Piket, Sarajevo 1998.) 8.d4 b5 9.0-0 2b7 10. 2f4 2d6 11. 罩c1 2xf4 12. gxf4 2d5 13.e3 c5 14. dxc5 罩c8 15.c6 2xc6 16. 營a3 2b7= Ivanchuk – Naiditsch, Warsaw 2005.

7...c5 8.d4

This seems to be the most testing approach. Other moves are not dangerous for Black:

8.a4 works less well than in the analogous position after 7. 253 c5?! 8.a4! as noted above. The point is that with the queen on c2 instead of b3, Black can safely play 8...b6! as 9. 252 can be met by 9...253

8.0–0 b6 (8...b5 9.a4 逾b7 10.axb5 axb5 11.豆xa8 營xa8 12. 包a3 愈c6 13.d3 愈c7 14. 包e1 包d5 15.f4 0–0 16.f5 exf5 17.豆xf5 g6 18.豆f1 營b7= Zaichik – Beliavsky, Yaroslavl 1982) 9.b4 愈b7 10.bxc5 ☲c8 11. 包c3 愈xc5 12.營b3 0–0 13.a4 營c7 14.愈b2 愈xf3 15.愈xf3 包e5 16. 包e4 包xf3† 17.營xf3 包xe4 18.營xe4 ☱fd8 19.愈c3 ☱d5 20.☱fb1 營d7∓ Akopian – Piket, Madrid 1997.



8...b6

After 8...cxd4?! 9. $2\sqrt{x}$ d4 Black has problems developing his queenside, and his problems were demonstrated as far back as six decades ago: 9... $2\sqrt{c}$ 5 (9... $2\sqrt{c}$ 5 10. $2\sqrt{b}$ 3 $2\sqrt{a}$ 7 11.0–0 0–0 12. $2\sqrt{c}$ 2 $2\sqrt{e}$ 7 13.a3 Ξ 8 14. $2\sqrt{b}$ 4 $2\sqrt{a}$ 8 15. $2\sqrt{c}$ 3 $2\sqrt{c}$ 7 16. Ξ ac1 $2\sqrt{e}$ 5 17. $2\sqrt{d}$ 1 $2\sqrt{e}$ 5 18. $2\sqrt{d}$ 2 $2\sqrt{b}$ 6 19. $2\sqrt{d}$ 41 Smyslov – Kan, Leningrad 1947.) 10. $2\sqrt{b}$ 3 $2\sqrt{x}$ 5 11. $2\sqrt{e}$ 7 12.0–0 $2\sqrt{c}$ 5 13. $2\sqrt{f}$ 4 e5 14. $2\sqrt{g}$ 5 $2\sqrt{e}$ 6 15. $2\sqrt{b}$ 7 $2\sqrt{b}$ 7 16. $2\sqrt{c}$ 7 $2\sqrt{c}$ 6 $2\sqrt{c}$ 3 $2\sqrt{a}$ 5 21. $2\sqrt{d}$ 1 $2\sqrt{c}$ 2 22. $2\sqrt{e}$ 3 $2\sqrt{e}$ 7 23. $2\sqrt{c}$ 4 $2\sqrt{c}$ 5 24.4 $2\sqrt{c}$ 5 Smyslov – Botvinnik, Moscow 1951.

9.De5 2d5



10. විc3

10.②c6 is not dangerous for Black: 10...鬯c7 11.e4 ②5f6! (After 11...②e7?! 12.③xe7 &xe7 13.奧f4 營a7 14.d5 e5 15.奧e3 0-0 16.②d2 ¹⁹⁹B8 17.a4 \triangle f6 18.h3 b5 19.0−0 c4 20.b3 cxb3 21. \triangle xb3 &d7 22. \triangle a5↑ bxa4 23. \triangle c6 &xc6 24.dxc6± White's passed c-pawn went on to decide the battle in Tkachiev – A. Sokolov, Belfort 2010.) 12.d5 &b7 13.0−0 &d6 14. \triangle d2 0−0= 15. \triangle c4 exd5 16.exd5 \triangle xd5 17.&xd5 &xc6 18. Ξ d1 &xd5 19. Ξ xd5 &e7 20. Ξ xd7 Шxd7 21. \triangle xb6 Шe6 22. \triangle xa8 Ξ xa8 23.&e3 Ξ c8 24.a3 ½−½ Landa – Meier, Copenhagen 2010.



10...②b4!?

Bacrot's novelty, and it seems to be a good one.

The most natural and common continuation has been 10...逸b7 11.④xd5 when both candidate moves have been tried. a) 11...exd5 does not equalize, although in the following game Black got the upper hand: 12.0–0 逸e7 13.罩d1 0–0 14.凹f5 (14.逸f4!?± deserves attention) 14...①xe5! 15.dxe5 罩a7! 16.e6 d4 17.逸xb7 罩xb7 18.e3 逸f6 19.exd4 罩e7 20.逸e3 fxe6 21.凹g4 h5 22.凹xh5 (22.凹e4 cxd4 23.逸xd4? loses to 23...罩d7 as the rook on d1 is no longer protected) 22...cxd4 23.凹e2 凹d5 Black had the initiative and went on to win in Hübner – Smyslov, Tilburg 1982.

b) 11...ዿxd5!

Exchanging the light-squared bishops is a more reliable approach for Black.

12.\$xd5 exd5 13.0-0

13...②xe5 14.dxe5 豐c8 15.罩d1 豐e6 16.豐d3 鼻e7 17.豐xd5 罩d8 18.豐b3 罩xd1† 19.豐xd1 0-0 20.豐b3 c4 21.豐c3 f6



22.b3

A possible improvement for white is 22.2f4? b5 (after 22....Zd8 23.exf6 24.2f2 Black's compensation is rather questionable) 23.Zd1 b4 (23...g5 24.2e3 fxe5 regains the pawn, but Black's loose kingside means he is not yet out of the woods) 24.2fe3 Zc8 It is not easy to say if Black has enough compensation for the missing pawn.

≅xc4 26.ዿxe5 h6

Black had enough counterplay and game was eventually drawn in Kramnik – Fritz 10, Bonn (3) 2006.

Before moving on, let us note that the rare 10...⁽¹⁾xe5!? deserves attention, for instance 11.dxe5 ⁽¹⁾gb7 12.0–0 ⁽¹⁾Gc7 13.⁽¹⁾Xd5 ⁽¹⁾gxd5 14.⁽¹⁾gxd5 exd5 15.⁽¹⁾gf4 ⁽¹⁾Gc6 16.^[1]Gfd1 ⁽¹⁾ge7 17.^[1]d3 d4 18.^[1]gac1 ⁽¹⁾Ge6 and Black was at least okay in Burmakin – Heinz, Bad Wiessee 2009.



11.₩d1

Faced with a prepared novelty over the board, Fressinet goes for a safe reply. Two other moves deserve consideration.

Firstly, it should be noted that 11. 12. 2 xa8 2 xe5 13.a3 2 bc6 is a promising exchange sacrifice for Black.

11.₩e4!?

It seems to me that this must be the critical path, although it is far from clear if White can make it work.

11...¤a7!

The safest continuation.

11....\text{2}xe5 is playable although it enables White to develop a dangerous initiative:

Miraculous Rook Endgames

by GM Konstantin Landa

We are fortunate that chess requires not only good opening play and sharp tactical skills in the middlegame, but also knowledge of the final stage of chess – the endgame. In recent times many young players have not bothered to read any endgame books – the opening stage is taking too much of their time, in particular the search for deep novelties. The games of such players rarely reach an endgame; instead they end in a sharp tactical middlegame. When an endgame does appear, it is hard to watch without shedding a tear over how these youngsters are playing it – of course with the exception of a few top class players.

When the editors of *Chess Evolution* asked me to write a section about the endgame, for a long time I could not find the right topic. To cover elementary endgames, as provided by many other chess publications, would of course be sensible – refreshing our knowledge of rook endgame theory is always healthy, but this can easily be done by the dear reader himself by opening any endgame book.

I came up with the idea of my current endgame topic by remembering when I was watching live the last round of the French league, where the outcome of the following game decided the result of a match.

M. Choisy (2207) – A. Muller (2152) Mulhouse 2011, French League



55...f5 56.\a5 g4

A good move, but to be honest almost any move should also lead to a win. Black played a great game and managed to get an absolutely winning position.

57.hxg4† fxg4 58.g3

If 58. Ξ xe5† then White is not in time to take the black pawns: 58... $\mathring{2}$ g6 59. Ξ xe6† $\mathring{2}$ f7 60. Ξ a6 g3† 61. $\mathring{2}$ h3 Ξ h1† 62. $\mathring{2}$ g4 a1=W

58...hxg3† 59.🖄g2

12 Puzzles

by GM Jacob Aagaard

In previous versions of *Chess Evolution* the puzzle section has been a repetition of positions that could be found elsewhere in the book. When we decided to make some small changes to the layout and structure of *Chess Evolution*, it was natural to stop this practice and have a small section with 12 combinations from the last two months.

Of the twelve positions I have selected, most of them could be said to be difficult. The first six positions are probably within reach of most readers without too much effort, some more than others. But don't get too cocky; some top class players managed to misplay a majority of these positions!

The next six positions are harder. Even though Hou Yifan did manage to win one of them, she did not manage to do so in the most direct way. The other five positions all include mistakes and failures for the grandmasters included; not because they are not great players, but because chess is a brutally difficult game.

Still, our sympathy and respect for these players should not ruin the enjoyment of succeeding where they failed. It might be a cheap pleasure, but why pay overprice for happiness?

My own preference when it comes to combinations is a blend of logic and classical beauty. I like the surprise, but I also like the detail quite a lot. I have tried to annotate these positions based on their core ideas, the points you have to discover to solve the positions. But at the same time, combinations are as concrete as you get in chess; so there are plenty of variations to prove my point.

Finally, before we begin, here is a little warm-up position:



LORDOFCHESS.COM DESIGN BY SASCHA WAGENER









IN CARNENTS

LOBD OF CHESS



Don't get left behind!

Join the Evolution - Subscribe to Chess Evolution!

Get 3 volumes for €82.48^{*} Get 6 volumes for €27.49^{*}per issue - The same as one free issue!

Make full use of our interactive service and subscribe now!





at www.chess-evolution.com





* Terms and conditions apply. For example, postage costs apply. For details, go to our website.